Globalization

University of California San Diego (UCSD)

Econ 102

Catherine Laffineur

c.laffineur@hotmail.fr http://catherinelaffineur.weebly.com

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Introduction

- Arguments that goes in favor of free-trade is referred to an optimal world situation.
- However, a country can be incited to take advantage of protectionism in a precise period of time.
- There exists some cases where trade protection can be better than free-trade.
 - When the country is large enough to influence world price
 - When the producer abroad is a monopoly
 - When the competition is not pure and perfect
- This chapter will review the arguments for protectionism

The infant industry protection (1/2)

In industrial countries, protection is more likely to be provided to labor-intensive industries or to industries with few firms.

- One argument for protection that stands is the infant-industry protection
 - A nation may have a comparative advantage in a commodity
 - But because of a lack of know-how or small level of output, the industry cannot compete successfully

The infant industry protection (2/2)

 Protection is then justified to establish and protect the domestic industry during its infancy until it can meet foreign competition

- The infant-industry argument is correct but requires several important qualifications:
 - It is difficult to identify which industries qualify for this treatment
 - What tariff protection can do, an equivalent subsidy can do better → but costly for developping countries

The model of Brander and Spencer

- There are two firms: 1& 2 producing a quantity x and y of good
- The demand curve is as follows: p = 5 (x + y)
- The competition is of Cournot type
 - Each firm maximizes its profit by considering the production of the other

Both firms have the same cost function

•
$$C_1 = 0.5 + 2x$$

- $C_1 = 0.5 + 2y$
- What are the two reaction functions?
- What happens after the subsidy?

Optimal protectionist strategy in the case of a Monopoly

- Assume a country that is relatively small and does not produce the good itself
- The good is supplied by a foreign monopoly
- Assume the country set up a tariff supported by the monopoly
 - For some demand functions, the consumer loss is lower than the government gain



Theory of Median Voter (1/2)

- Each agent has a fixed amount of labor and different amount of capital
- Individual utility depends on:
 - The level of protection in the country
 - The price of the goods consumed
- Voters differ in the policies they prefer
 - Suppose that policy can be described along a single dimension: the level of the tariff rate.
- Imagine a country exporting capital-intensive goods and imports labor-intensive goods
 - Voters with high capital levels will favor low tariff rates
 - Voters with high labor level will favor high-tariff rates (SS theorem)

Theory of Median Voter (2/2)

Mayer shows that the level required by the median voter will be chosen by the collectivity

- There is a large inequality in the distribution of capital
 - The median ratio $\frac{K}{I}$ is lower than the mean
 - The median voter has a relatively high
 <u>L</u> and will favor protectionist policies

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Conclusion

- Traditional theory on protection are not well suited to the actual economic situation:
 - There exists oligopoly and monopoly
 - Strategic attitude about firm
- Some models have tried to justify protectionism: two ideas
 - Since there exists surplus in the case of imperfect competition some countries might benefit from protectionism by getting higher surplus

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Since some groups of people are damaged by free-trade, the demand for protectionism may increase.